Unit "Naught": Codes

Dave Abel

March 25th, 2016



Outline

- Why do we need codes?
- Solutions
 - Correcting Errors
 - Compression





















ERRORS











Reason One: Come up with a *code* that is robust to errors!

ERRORS





Reason One: Come up with a *code* that is robust to errors!







Reason One: Come up with a *code* that is robust to errors!





























error

Reason One: Communication that is robust to errors!

Reason Two: Send information in compressed form!

compression





- Idea: what if our messages fixed themselves?
- Idea: or what if we could at least *tell* our message broke?



- Idea: what if our messages fixed themselves?
- Idea: or what if we could at least *tell* our message broke?



- Idea: what if our messages fixed themselves?
- Idea: or what if we could at least *tell* our message broke?





- Idea: what if our messages fixed themselves?
- Idea: or what if we could at least *tell* our message broke?





- Idea: what if our messages fixed themselves?
- Idea: or what if we could at least *tell* our message broke?







- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again

<image>



- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again





- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again







Clicker Question!

- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you *always* catch errors?



Clicker Question!

- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you *always* catch errors?

[A] Yes [B] No [C] I'm Confused



- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you *always* catch errors?

[A] Yes **[B] No** [C] I'm Confused



- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again

<image>



- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again





- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again







- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again







- Send it twice! (or more)
- If they're the same, great!
- If they're different, ask to be sent the message again
- Note: not perfect!
- The more copies we send, the better we do.
- But then we have to send way more stuff!



Second Idea: Checksum

 Idea: add some information to the message that can help detect errors!



Second Idea: Checksum

- Idea: add some information to the message that can help detect errors!
- Checksum: add the sum of the message mod 10 to the end!
- Example: 02906, the sum is 0 + 2 + 9 + 0 + 6 = 17
- So we add 17 *mod 10* to the end, which is **029067**.
- Then check to make sure the checksum is still correct!



Clicker Question!

What is the checksum for 42304?

[A] 423041 [B] 423049

[C] 423047 [D] 423043



What is the checksum for 42304?

[A] 423041 [B] 423049

[C] 423047 **[D] 423043**


What is the checksum for 42304?

[A] 423041 [B] 423049

[C] 423047 **[D] 423043**

Since: 4 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 13, and $13 \mod 10 = 3$



Clicker Question!

- Idea: add some information to the message that can help detect errors!
- Checksum: add the sum of the message mod 10 to the end!

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you *always* catch errors?



- Idea: add some information to the message that can help detect errors!
- Checksum: add the sum of the message mod 10 to the end!

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you *always* catch errors?



5040

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you always catch errors?



5040 ---- 50409

Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you always catch errors?





Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you always catch errors?







Q: Is this scheme perfect? Will you always catch errors?



Error Correcting Codes

- In a computer, communication errors happen regularly!
 - Errors in transmitting to and from the internet
 - Errors reading/writing from our computers memory
 - Old news: Errors reading/writing to CDs, floppies.
- We want to know when errors happen so we can fix them.
- Solutions:
 - Send copies! (But too much space)



Send a checksum!

 Idea: We can turn *big* things into *small* things that effectively *preserve the main information*.



 Idea: We can turn *big* things into *small* things that effectively *preserve the main information*.





 Idea: We can turn *big* things into *small* things that effectively *preserve the main information*.





Challenge 1:





Challenge 1:



Shortest description: east



Challenge 2:





Challenge 2:



Shortest description: colorless rainbow



Challenge 4:





Challenge 4:



Shortest description: whole note g



Challenge 5:



Challenge 5:

Shortest description: six bar histogram switch 2 and 6



Challenge 6:



Challenge 6:



Shortest description: bars ordered



 Q: How can we make an object as small as possible, but still preserve what the object is?





 Q: How can we make an object as small as possible, but still preserve what the object is?



 Q: How can we make an object as small as possible, but still preserve what the object is?



















1Kb





1Kb



1Kb





1Kb

Everything else, black



0.3Kb





Everything else, black



1Kb

0.3Kb



Shaved off .7 Kilobytes!



Everything else, black



1Kb

0.3Kb



Critical: we can do this with everything

Clicker Question:



Everything else, black



1Kb

0.3Kb



Critical: we can do this with everything

Critical: we can do this with everything

For a given object...

Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

Let's consider sequences of english characters...



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

Let's consider sequences of english characters...



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

Let's consider sequences of english characters...


Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

Let's consider sequences of english characters...



Shaggy's algorithm: Output "Sc", then 20 "o"'s, then "by doo!"

Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

Let's consider sequences of english characters...



Shaggy's algorithm: Output 23 "a"

Problem: Compress Words

INPUT: A phrase in english

• OUTPUT: A compressed version of that phrase.



Algorithm: Run Length Encoding

- Compress repeated sequences into #repeats, then the letter:
 - E.g. "foooooooooooooosesssss!"
 - Becomes: 1f11o1x1e5s
 - E.g. "abbbbbaa zabbbaa"
 - Becomes: 1a5b2a 1z1a3b2a



-

Clicker Question!

Q: What is the run length encoding for "Wobbless and bbbbles"?

[A] 1Wobbless 1and 1bbbbbles

[B] 1W1o3b1l1e2s 1a1n1d 5b1l1e1s

[C] Wo3b1l1e2s and 5bles



[D] I'm confused

Clicker Answer!

Q: What is the run length encoding for "Wobbless and bbbbles"?

[A] 1Wobbless 1and 1bbbbbles

[B] 1W1o3b1l1e2s 1a1n1d 5b1l1e1s

[C] Wo3b1l1e2s and 5bles



[D] I'm confused

Clicker Question!

Q: What is, "1W5o1d1e1n 1B3i1r1d1s", when uncompressed?

[A] Woooooden Biiirds

[B] Wooden Birds

[C] Wooden Biiirds



[D] Wooooooden BBBirds

Clicker Answer!

Q: What is, "1W5o1d1e1n 1B3i1r1d1s", when uncompressed?

[A] Wooooden Biiirds

[B] Wooden Birds

[C] Wooden Biiirds



[D] Wooooooden BBBirds

What Do We Think of This?

- Compress repeated sequences into #repeats, then the letter:
 - E.g. "fooooooooooooxesssss!"
 - Becomes: 1f11o1x1e5s
 - E.g. "abbbbbaa zabbbaa"
 - Becomes: 1a5b2a 1z1a3b2a



-

What Do We Think of This?

- Two drawbacks of note:
 - Q: Are repeated sequences that regular in English?
 - Q: What about numbers?



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

This question ends up being super fascinating.



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

This question ends up being super fascinating.

- Q: What objects generally have shorter algorithms?
- Q: What objects generally have complex algorithms?
- Q: Given an object, how can *find* the shortest algorithm?



Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

This question ends up being *super fascinating*.

Q: What objects generally have more complex algorithms?





Q: What is the shortest algorithm that outputs the object we want to describe?

This question ends up being *super fascinating*.

Q: What objects generally have more complex algorithms?





Have a Great Break!

